UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,974	11/03/2003	Ian Zetterstrom Smith	36246	5016
116 PEARNE & G	7590 08/08/2007 ORDON LLP	EXAMINER		
1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		08/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/699,974	SMITH, IAN ZETTERSTROM
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILUNG DATE of the	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	1 the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI y statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Oly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	04 April 2007.	
_	This action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,19-21 and 26-33 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 26-28 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,19-21 and 29-33 is/are rejection is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the or		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t		• •
		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been received. Iments have been received in Apper priority documents have been resured. Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	 🗖	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	4) ∐ Interview Sui µ8) Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/699,974

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 04/04/07.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 26 is objected to because of the following informalities: The examiner suggest to add "a" in line 13, before 'plane'; and in line 14, ", when the cutter means" after 'means'.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification discloses an effective rotation of the cutting head relative to the handle of 120 degrees in one direction or 240 degrees in the other direction relative to the axis is not sufficient to one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the invention. Applicant needs to illustrate in detail in the specification and drawings every angle that comprise the effective rotation and every direction claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,974 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1, 2, 19-21, 29-32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 32, it is not clear what "an effective rotation of the cutting head relative to the handle of 120 degrees in one direction or 240 degrees in the other direction relative to the axis" encompasses.

Claims 21 and 33, in line 4 "horizontal" is indefinite because it is not relative to any specific point.

Claim 29 is indefinite because it depends from a cancelled claim 25.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 26-28 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Uhl is cited to show a related device.

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ofs 6/11/07

BOYER D. ASHLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER